



# ACTION ALERT

## Water Resources Development Act – HR 1495: *Projects to be Authorized*



***ACTION ALERT – Inform NARC of HR 1495 projects that will improve regionalism!!!***

### Status:

- HR 1495, the Water Resources Development Act, has been passed by both the House and Senate. It now headed towards conference to determine any discrepancies and to finalize a bill to send to the White House.
- It authorizes over \$15 billion in water projects including inland navigation, coastal restoration, flood control, storm protection for coastal Louisiana, and more than 100 environmental infrastructure projects.
- Senate Conferees have been named: Boxer (D-CA); Baucus (D-MT); Lieberman (D-NJ); Carper (D-DE); Clinton (D-NY); Lautenberg (D-NJ); Inhofe (R-OK); Warner (R-VA); Voinovich (R-OH); Isakson (R-GA); Vitter (R-LA).

### NARC Next Steps:

- NARC would like to send letters of support to the conferees for projects that overwhelmingly support regionalism or will bolster regional efficiencies.
- NARC would like for members to send information on projects that would be authorized in HR 1495 so that we may lend our additional support.

### HR 1495 Projects:

*Listed below is a sampling of the projects in alphabetical order of state name. To view all projects, please visit our webpage.*

#### ALASKA

- HAINES HARBOR, ALASKA- The project for navigation, Haines Harbor, Alaska: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of \$14,040,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,232,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,808,000.
- ST. HERMAN AND ST. PAUL HARBORS, KODIAK, ALASKA- The Secretary shall carry out, on an emergency basis, necessary removal of rubble, sediment, and rock impeding the entrance to the St. Herman and St. Paul Harbors, Kodiak, Alaska, at a Federal cost of \$2,000,000.
- SITKA, ALASKA- The Sitka, Alaska, element of the project for navigation, Southeast Alaska Harbors of Refuge, Alaska, authorized by section 101 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4801), is modified to direct the Secretary to take such action as is necessary to correct design deficiencies in the Sitka Harbor Breakwater, at full Federal expense. The estimated cost is \$6,300,000.

#### ARIZONA

- TANQUE VERDE CREEK, ARIZONA- The project for ecosystem restoration, Tanque Verde Creek, Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July 22, 2003, at a total cost of \$5,906,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$3,836,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,070,000.

- SALT RIVER (VA SHLYAY AKIMEL), MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA-

(A) IN GENERAL- The project for ecosystem restoration, Salt River (Va Shlyay Akimel), Arizona: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated January 3, 2005, at a total cost of \$162,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$105,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$56,900,000.

(B) COORDINATION WITH FEDERAL RECLAMATION PROJECTS- The Secretary, to the maximum extent practicable, shall coordinate the development and construction of the project described in subparagraph (A) with each Federal reclamation project located in the Salt River Basin to address statutory requirements and the operations of those projects.

- NOGALES WASH AND TRIBUTARIES FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT, ARIZONA - The project for flood control, Nogales Wash and tributaries, Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(4) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1990 (104 Stat. 4606; 110 Stat. 3711; 114 Stat. 2600), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of \$25,410,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$22,930,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,480,000.
- RIO DE FLAG, FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA- The project for flood damage reduction, Rio De Flag, Flagstaff, Arizona, authorized by section 101(b)(3) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2576), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of \$54,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$35,000,000 and a non-Federal cost of \$19,100,000.
- TUCSON DRAINAGE AREA (TUCSON ARROYO), ARIZONA- The project for flood damage reduction, environmental restoration, and recreation, Tucson Drainage Area (Tucson Arroyo), Arizona, authorized by section 101(a)(5) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1999 (113 Stat. 274), is modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the project at a total cost of \$66,700,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$43,350,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$23,350,000.

## ARKANSAS

- MAY BRANCH, FORT SMITH, ARKANSAS- The project for flood damage reduction, May Branch, Fort Smith, Arkansas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$30,850,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$15,010,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$15,840,000.
- AUGUSTA AND CLARENDON, ARKANSAS- The Secretary may carry out rehabilitation of authorized and completed levees on the White River between Augusta and Clarendon, Arkansas, at a total estimated cost of \$8,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$5,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$2,800,000.
- EASTERN ARKANSAS ENTERPRISE COMMUNITY, ARKANSAS- Federal assistance made available under the rural enterprise zone program of the Department of Agriculture may be used toward payment of the non-Federal share of the costs of the project described in section 219(c)(20) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1992 (106 Stat. 4835; 114 Stat. 2763A-219), if the funds are authorized to be used for the purpose of that project.

## CALIFORNIA

- HAMILTON CITY, CALIFORNIA- The project for flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, Hamilton City, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost of \$52,400,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$34,100,000 and estimated non-Federal cost of \$18,300,000.
- IMPERIAL BEACH, CALIFORNIA- The project for storm damage reduction, Imperial Beach, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$13,700,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$8,521,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,179,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$42,500,000 for periodic beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$21,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$21,250,000.

- MATILILJA DAM, VENTURA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA- The project for ecosystem restoration, Matilija Dam and Ventura River Watershed, Ventura County, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 20, 2004, at a total cost of \$144,500,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$89,700,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$54,800,000.
- MIDDLE CREEK, LAKE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA- The project for flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, Middle Creek, Lake County, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated November 29, 2004, at a total cost of \$45,200,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$29,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$15,700,000.
- NAPA RIVER SALT MARSH, CALIFORNIA-
  - (A) IN GENERAL- The project for ecosystem restoration, Napa River Salt Marsh, California: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost of \$134,500,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$87,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$47,000,000.
  - (B) ADMINISTRATION- In carrying out the project authorized by this paragraph, the Secretary shall--
    - (i) construct a recycled water pipeline extending from the Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District Waste Water Treatment Plant and the Napa Sanitation District Waste Water Treatment Plant to the project; and
    - (ii) restore or enhance Salt Ponds 1, 1A, 2, and 3.

## COLORADO

- SOUTH PLATTE RIVER, DENVER, COLORADO- The project for ecosystem restoration, Denver County Reach, South Platte River, Denver, Colorado: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated May 16, 2003, at a total cost of \$20,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,065,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$7,035,000.

## FLORIDA

- COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN, CENTRAL AND SOUTHERN FLORIDA, SITE 1- The project for ecosystem restoration, Comprehensive Everglades restoration plan, central and southern Florida, Site 1 impoundment project, Palm Beach County, Florida: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$80,840,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$40,420,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$40,420,000.
- INDIAN RIVER LAGOON, SOUTH FLORIDA-
  - (A) IN GENERAL- The Secretary may carry out the project for ecosystem restoration, water supply, flood control, and protection of water quality, Indian River Lagoon, south Florida, at a total cost of \$1,365,000,000, with an estimated first Federal cost of \$682,500,000 and an estimated first non-Federal cost of \$682,500,000, in accordance with section 601 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2680) and the recommendations of the report of the Chief of Engineers dated August 6, 2004.
  - (B) DEAUTHORIZATIONS- As of the date of enactment of this Act, the following projects are not authorized:
    - (i) The uncompleted portions of the project authorized by section 601(b)(2)(C)(i) of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2682), C-44 Basin Storage Reservoir of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, at a total cost of \$147,800,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$73,900,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$73,900,000.
    - (ii) The uncompleted portions of the project authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (Public Law 90-483; 82 Stat. 740), Martin County, Florida, modifications to Central and South Florida Project, as contained in Senate Document 101, 90th Congress, 2d Session, at a total cost of \$15,471,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$8,073,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$7,398,000.
    - (iii) The uncompleted portions of the project authorized by section 203 of the Flood Control Act of 1968 (Public Law 90-483; 82 Stat. 740), East Coast Backpumping, St. Lucie-Martin County, Spillway Structure S-311 of the Central and South Florida Project, as contained in House Document 369, 90th Congress, 2d Session, at a total cost of \$77,118,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$55,124,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$21,994,000.

- MIAMI HARBOR, MIAMI, FLORIDA- The project for navigation, Miami Harbor, Miami, Florida: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated April 25, 2005, at a total cost of \$125,270,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$75,140,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$50,130,000.
- PICAYUNE STRAND, FLORIDA- The project for ecosystem restoration, Picayune Strand, Florida: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated September 15, 2005, at a total cost of \$375,330,000 with an estimated Federal cost of \$187,665,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$187,665,000.

## ILLINOIS

- EAST ST. LOUIS AND VICINITY, ILLINOIS- The project for ecosystem restoration and recreation, East St. Louis and Vicinity, Illinois: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 22, 2004, at a total cost of \$208,260,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$134,910,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$73,350,000.
- PEORIA RIVERFRONT, ILLINOIS- The project for ecosystem restoration, Peoria Riverfront, Illinois: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July 28, 2003, at a total cost of \$18,220,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,840,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$6,380,000.
- WOOD RIVER LEVEE SYSTEM, ILLINOIS- The project for flood damage reduction, Wood River, Illinois: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July 18, 2006, at a total cost of \$17,220,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,193,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$6,027,000.

## IOWA

- DES MOINES AND RACCOON RIVERS, DES MOINES, IOWA- The project for flood damage reduction, Des Moines and Raccoon Rivers, Des Moines, Iowa: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March 28, 2006, at a total cost of \$10,780,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$6,967,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,813,000.

## LOUISIANA

- BAYOU SORREL LOCK, LOUISIANA- The project for navigation, Bayou Sorrel Lock, Louisiana: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated January 3, 2005, at a total cost of \$9,680,000. The costs of construction of the project are to be paid 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.
- MORGANZA TO THE GULF OF MEXICO, LOUISIANA-
  - (A) IN GENERAL- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Morganza to the Gulf of Mexico, Louisiana: Reports of the Chief of Engineers dated August 23, 2002, and July 22, 2003, at a total cost of \$886,700,000 with an estimated Federal cost of \$576,355,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$310,345,000.
  - (B) OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE- The operation, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement of the Houma Navigation Canal lock complex and the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway floodgate features that provide for inland waterway transportation shall be a Federal responsibility, in accordance with section 102 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (33 U.S.C. 2212; Public Law 99-662).
- PORT OF IBERIA, LOUISIANA- The project for navigation, Port of Iberia, Louisiana: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 31, 2006, at a total cost of \$131,250,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$105,315,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$25,935,000, except that the Secretary, in consultation with Vermillion and Iberia Parishes, Louisiana, is directed to use available dredged material and rock placement on the south bank of the Gulf Intracoastal Waterway and the west bank of the Freshwater Bayou Channel to provide incidental storm surge protection.
- LOUISIANA COASTAL AREA ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION, LOUISIANA- any Mississippi River diversion project that-- protects a major population area of the Pontchartrain, Pearl, Breton Sound, Barataria, or Terrebonne Basin; produces an environmental benefit to the

coastal area of the State of Louisiana; and any barrier island, or barrier shoreline, project that is carried out in conjunction with a Mississippi River diversion project and protects a major population area.

## MARYLAND

- POPLAR ISLAND EXPANSION, MARYLAND- The project for the beneficial use of dredged material at Poplar Island, Maryland, authorized by section 537 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (110 Stat. 3776), and modified by section 318 of the Water Resources Development Act of 2000 (114 Stat. 2678), is further modified to authorize the Secretary to construct the expansion of the project in accordance with the Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March 31, 2006, at an additional total cost of \$260,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$195,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$65,000,000.
- SMITH ISLAND, MARYLAND- The project for ecosystem restoration, Smith Island, Maryland: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated October 29, 2001, at a total cost of \$15,580,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$10,127,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,453,000.

## MINNESOTA

- ROSEAU RIVER, ROSEAU, MINNESOTA- The project for flood damage reduction, Roseau River, Roseau, Minnesota: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$25,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,820,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,280,000.

## MISSISSIPPI

- MISSISSIPPI COASTAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT, HANCOCK, HARRISON, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, MISSISSIPPI- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, Mississippi coastal improvement project, Hancock, Harrison, and Jackson Counties, Mississippi: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 31, 2006, at a total cost of \$107,690,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$70,000,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$37,690,000.

## MISSOURI

- ARGENTINE, EAST BOTTOMS, FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK, AND NORTH KANSAS LEVEES UNITS, MISSOURI RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES AT KANSAS CITIES, MISSOURI AND KANSAS- The project for flood damage reduction, Argentine, East Bottoms, Fairfax-Jersey Creek, and North Kansas Levees units, Missouri River and tributaries at Kansas Cities, Missouri and Kansas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$65,430,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$42,530,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$22,900,000.
- SWOPE PARK INDUSTRIAL AREA, MISSOURI- The project for flood damage reduction, Swope Park Industrial Area, Missouri: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$16,980,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$11,037,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$5,943,000.

## NEW JERSEY

- GREAT EGG HARBOR INLET TO TOWNSENDS INLET, NEW JERSEY- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Great Egg Harbor Inlet to Townsends Inlet, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated October 24, 2006, at a total cost of \$54,360,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$35,069,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$19,291,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$202,500,000 for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$101,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$101,250,000.
- HUDSON-RARITAN ESTUARY, LIBERTY STATE PARK, NEW JERSEY- The project for environmental restoration, Hudson Raritan Estuary, Liberty State Park, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated August 25, 2006, at a total cost of \$34,100,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$22,200,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$11,900,000.
- MANASQUAN TO BARNEGAT INLETS, NEW JERSEY- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Manasquan to Barnegat Inlets, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 30, 2003, at a total cost of \$71,900,000, with an estimated Federal

cost of \$46,735,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$25,165,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$119,680,000 for periodic beach nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$59,840,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$59,840,000.

- RARITAN BAY AND SANDY HOOK BAY, UNION BEACH, NEW JERSEY- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Raritan Bay and Sandy Hook Bay, Union Beach, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated January 4, 2006, at a total cost of \$115,000,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$74,800,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$40,200,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$6,500,000 for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$3,250,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,250,000.
- SOUTH RIVER, NEW JERSEY- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, South River, New Jersey: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated July 22, 2003, at a total cost of \$122,300,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$79,500,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$42,800,000.

### NEW MEXICO

- SOUTHWEST VALLEY, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO- The project for flood damage reduction, Southwest Valley, Albuquerque, New Mexico: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated November 29, 2004, at a total cost of \$24,840,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$16,150,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$8,690,000.

### NEW YORK

- MONTAUK POINT, NEW YORK- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Montauk Point, New York: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March 31, 2006, at a total cost of \$14,600,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$7,300,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$7,300,000.

### OHIO

- HOCKING RIVER BASIN, MONDAY CREEK, OHIO-
  - (A) IN GENERAL- The project for ecosystem restoration, Hocking River Basin, Monday Creek, Ohio: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated August 24, 2006, at a total cost of \$20,980,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$13,440,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$7,540,000.
  - (B) WAYNE NATIONAL FOREST-
    - (i) IN GENERAL- The Secretary, in cooperation with the Secretary of Agriculture, may construct other project features on property that is located in the Wayne National Forest, Ohio, owned by the United States and managed by the Forest Service as described in the report of the Corps of Engineers entitled 'Hocking River Basin, Ohio, Monday Creek Sub-Basin Ecosystem Restoration Project Feasibility Report and Environmental Assessment'.
    - (ii) COST- Each project feature carried out on Federal land shall be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained at full Federal expense.
    - (iii) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS- There is authorized to be appropriated to carry out this subparagraph \$1,270,000.

### PENNSYLVANIA

- BLOOMSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA- The project for flood damage reduction, Bloomsburg, Pennsylvania: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated January 25, 2006, at a total cost of \$44,500,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$28,925,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$15,575,000

### SOUTH CAROLINA

- PAWLEYS ISLAND, SOUTH CAROLINA- The project for hurricane and storm damage reduction, Pawleys Island, South Carolina: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 19, 2006, at a total cost of \$8,980,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$5,840,000 and an

estimated non-Federal cost of \$3,140,000, and at an estimated total cost of \$21,200,000 for periodic nourishment over the 50-year life of the project, with an estimated Federal cost of \$10,600,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$10,600,000.

## TEXAS

- CORPUS CHRISTI SHIP CHANNEL, CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS-  
(A) IN GENERAL- The project for navigation and ecosystem restoration, Corpus Christi Ship Channel, Texas, Channel Improvement Project: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated June 2, 2003, at a total cost of \$188,110,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$87,810,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$100,300,000.  
  
(B) NAVIGATIONAL SERVITUDE- In carrying out the project under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall enforce navigational servitude in the Corpus Christi Ship Channel, including, at the sole expense of the owner of the facility, the removal or relocation of any facility obstructing the project.
- GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, BRAZOS RIVER TO PORT O'CONNOR, MATAGORDA BAY RE-ROUTE, TEXAS- The project for navigation, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Brazos River to Port O'Connor, Matagorda Bay Re-Route, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 24, 2002, at a total cost of \$17,280,000. The costs of construction of the project are to be paid 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.
- GULF INTRACOASTAL WATERWAY, HIGH ISLAND TO BRAZOS RIVER, TEXAS- The project for navigation, Gulf Intracoastal Waterway, Sabine River to Corpus Christi, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated April 16, 2004, at a total cost of \$14,450,000. The costs of construction of the project are to be paid 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury and 1/2 from amounts appropriated from the Inland Waterways Trust Fund.
- LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN PHASE I, TEXAS- The project for flood damage reduction and ecosystem restoration, Lower Colorado River Basin Phase I, Texas: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated December 31, 2006, at a total cost of \$110,730,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$69,640,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$41,090,000.

## VIRGINIA

- CRANEY ISLAND EASTWARD EXPANSION, VIRGINIA- The project for navigation, Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Virginia: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated October 24, 2006, at a total cost of \$712,103,000, with an estimated Federal cost of \$31,229,000 and an estimated non-Federal cost of \$680,874,000.
- DEEP CREEK, CHESAPEAKE, VIRGINIA- The project for the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway Bridge Replacement, Deep Creek, Chesapeake, Virginia: Report of the Chief of Engineers dated March 3, 2003, at a total cost of \$37,200,000.

## WASHINGTON

- CHEHALIS RIVER, CENTRALIA, WASHINGTON- The project for flood damage reduction, Centralia, Washington, authorized by section 401(a) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (Public Law 99-662; 100 Stat. 4126)--  
(A) is modified to be carried out at a total cost of \$123,770,000, with a Federal cost of \$74,740,000, and a non-Federal cost of \$49,030,000; and  
  
(B) shall be carried out by the Secretary substantially in accordance with the plans, and subject to the conditions, recommended in the final report of the Chief of Engineers dated September 27, 2004.

## MULTISTATE

- Mississippi/Minneapolis/Illinois: ENHANCED NAVIGATION CAPACITY IMPROVEMENTS AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PLAN FOR UPPER MISSISSIPPI RIVER AND ILLINOIS WATERWAY SYSTEM - The total cost of the projects authorized under this paragraph shall be \$256,000,000 for NEW LOCKS and ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION PROJECTS.

## ADDITIONAL PROJECTS

- SMALL PROJECTS FOR FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION.
  - (1) CACHE RIVER BASIN, GRUBBS, ARKANSAS- Project for flood damage reduction, Cache River Basin, Grubbs, Arkansas.
  - (2) BIBB COUNTY AND THE CITY OF MACON LEVEE, GEORGIA- Project for flood damage reduction, Bibb County and the City of Macon Levee, Georgia.
  - (3) FORT WAYNE AND VICINITY, INDIANA- Project for flood control, St. Mary's River, Fort Wayne and Vicinity, Indiana.
  - (4) SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for flood damage reduction, Salem, Massachusetts.
  - (5) CROW RIVER, ROCKFORD, MINNESOTA- Project for flood damage reduction, Crow River, Rockford, Minnesota.
  - (6) SOUTH BRANCH OF THE WILD RICE RIVER, BORUP, MINNESOTA- Project for flood damage reduction, South Branch of the Wild Rice River, Borup, Minnesota.
  - (7) CHEYENNE, WYOMING- Project for flood control, Capitol Basin, Cheyenne, Wyoming.
- SMALL PROJECTS FOR NAVIGATION.
  - (1) BARROW HARBOR, ALASKA- Project for navigation, Barrow Harbor, Alaska.
  - (2) NOME HARBOR, ALASKA- Project for navigation, Nome Harbor, Alaska.
  - (3) OLD HARBOR, ALASKA- Project for navigation, Old Harbor, Alaska.
  - (4) LITTLE ROCK PORT, ARKANSAS- Project for navigation, Little Rock Port, Arkansas River, Arkansas.
  - (5) EAST BASIN, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for navigation, East Basin, Cape Cod Canal, Sandwich, Massachusetts.
  - (6) LYNN HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for navigation, Lynn Harbor, Lynn, Massachusetts.
  - (7) MERRIMACK RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for navigation, Merrimack River, Haverhill, Massachusetts.
  - (8) OAK BLUFFS HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for navigation, Oak Bluffs Harbor, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts.
  - (9) WOODS HOLE GREAT HARBOR, MASSACHUSETTS- Project for navigation, Woods Hole Great Harbor, Falmouth, Massachusetts.
  - (10) AU SABLE RIVER, MICHIGAN- Project for navigation, Au Sable River in the vicinity of Oscoda, Michigan.
  - (11) CLINTON RIVER, MICHIGAN- Project for navigation, Clinton River, Michigan.
  - (12) ONTONAGON RIVER, MICHIGAN- Project for navigation, Ontonagon River, Ontonagon, Michigan.
  - (13) TRAVERSE CITY, MICHIGAN- Project for navigation, Traverse City, Michigan.
  - (14) SEBEWAING RIVER, MICHIGAN- Project for navigation, Sebewaing River, Michigan.
  - (15) TOWER HARBOR, MINNESOTA- Project for navigation, Tower Harbor, Tower, Minnesota.

(16) OUTER CHANNEL AND INNER HARBOR, MENOMINEE HARBOR, MICHIGAN AND WISCONSIN- Project for navigation, Outer Channel and Inner Harbor, Menominee Harbor, Michigan and Wisconsin.

(17) MIDDLE BASS ISLAND STATE PARK, MIDDLE BASS ISLAND, OHIO- Project for navigation, Middle Bass Island State Park, Middle Bass Island, Ohio.

(18) MILWAUKEE HARBOR, WISCONSIN- Project for navigation, Milwaukee Harbor, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.